

Wright-Patterson Air Force Base, Ohio

	Table of Contents	Page Number
	Key Findings	32
	Air Dominance	A A
	Network Centric Warfare	5
	Airborne Intelligence, Surveillance and Reconnaissance	6
	Multi-Role Fighter	10
	Fourth Generation Fighter	12
	Fifth Generation Fighter	18
	Sixth Generation Fighter	24
E C	Air-to-Air Missiles	25
	Global and Regional Strike	27
	Cruise Missiles and Hypersonics	29
	Air Combat Tactics	30
	Statements of the Area of the	

# Scientific and Technical Analysis of Aerodynamic Systems .

Aircraft and weapons will remain a doctrinal part of foreign military strategy. As advanced technologies incrementally improve aerodynamic threat capabilities, it is imperative that scientific and technical analysis continues to evaluate the capabilities and limitations of emerging systems. Scientific and technical intelligence is geared toward preventing technological surprise, ensuring technical superiority for US weapons systems for their entire life sycle, and maintaining an air combat advantage for US air forces. As foreign systems capabilities approach near parity with US systems, a more detailed understanding of their capabilities is required to maintain US air dominance.

### Key Findings

Foreign militaries have learned from US and Coalition air combat operations during recent conflicts, and seek to resolve air combat deficiencies using US airpower as the yardstick.

China and Russia are currently the only *near-peer* threat countries that are equipped with the aerodynamic systems and air forces to challenge US and Coalition forces.



#### **Key Findings**

- China and Russia are working toward a network warfare strategy that creates a kill chain matrix to find, fix, target, track, and engage potential targets.
- Russia remains a key original equipment supplier of aircraft and aerodynamic weapons to rogue nations.
- The global aerospace industry uses common aerodynamic system designs to allow customization of technology.
- China, Russia, India, Japan, South Korea, Sweden and Turkey are each developing fifth-generation fighter aircraft. The most prominent are the Chinese J-20 and the Russian T-50 (PAK-FA).
- China and Russia both continue to revitalize, research, and develope new strategic bombers to create a global-reach capability using cruise missiles and anti-ship cruise missiles (ASCMs).
- Land-attack cruise missiles (LACMs) present a major threat to both military operations and potentially the United States. Over 10 foreign countries currently produce and export LACMs, and over 30 countries own and employ them.
- Almost any multi-role fighter or bomber can be configured to carry a weapon of mass destruction.



### Air Dominance

The United States and its Coalition partners have maintained air dominance because of technical and tactical air systems superiority. The ability to protect US forces from airborne attack provides a safe operating environment for persistent air surveillance, plus the freedom to maneuver for military and civil air, ground, and maritime assets. Air dominance has become a military instrument of power that provides the United States with an asymmetric advantage over nearly any country in the world. That advantage is being eroded by foreign weapons systems being designed and fielded today. The relative ease for achieving air dominance during recent conflicts has created a grossly inaccurate perception that foreign military weapons systems will remain technologically inferior to US equipment.

The technology being incorporate into foreign weapons systems since the turn of the century is the most significant threat to US air combat observed in decades. The advantages once held by US legacy combat aircraft are quickly eroding with select adversaries.

**Near-Peer Air Threat**: Potential adversaries that have advanced aerodynamic systems, operational inventories, and integrated air defenses to directly challenge sustained US air dominance. This includes potential adversaries that have an aerodynamic power projection capability. China and Russia are considered the only near-peer threats.



Asymmetric Air Threat: Potential adversaries operate aerodynamic systems, but cannot compete directly with US airpower because of limited technology, small inventories or a limited integrated air defense. Asymmetric air threats often include leading technology that could disrupt or delay US air dominance, and temporarily deny US access to a particular countri or region.



### Network-Centric Warfare

Individual physical airborne threats remain a concern, but they can no longer be viewed as single threat platforms. Transformation toward information warfare drives integrated information sharing among threat platforms enabling faster, more precise, more effective employment. Emerging network-centric warfare will ultimately require the combined analysis of foreign air, space, ground, and sea capabilities together to fully comprehend a potential adversary capability.

Significant intelligence, surveillance, and reconnaissance (ISR) developments are occurring in China and Russia, where network warfare strategies are evolving to establish a multi-layered sensor and communication architecture for regional activity monitoring. Advanced sensor computer processing and communications data links allow networks to passively track and rapidly combine data for surveillance, target de-confliction, and weapons delivery against fixed or even mobile targets within minutes. Passive tracking capabilities provide US aircraft or ships little to no threat warning.



### Airborne Intelligence, Surveillance, and Reconnaissance

#### **Fixed-Wing Airborne ISR**

Over 1,300 foreign fixed-wing ISR aircraft and 2,000 unmanned aerial vehicle (UAVs) are in operation in 40 countries. These systems utilize radar, electro-optical (EO) cameras, and/or infrared (IR) sensors to monitor, detect, and identify threats in the air, sea, or on the ground. These ISR assets can also direct intercepts of these threats. ISR aircraft support persistent surveillance networks designed for the protection of national interests, including patrol of shipping lanes, preventing drug trafficking, environmental monitoring, and stemming illegal immigration. These same foreign ISR assets have been used to collect data on US military force locations, command structures, operating methods, and training patterns. This data can be used to create electronic databases of US military equipment and define US capabilities.

#### Chinese Airborne ISR Systems that Offer a Layered Sensor Network



KJ-2000 MAINRING

#### Multiple Data Fused to Enhance Situational Awareness



O







YiLong UAV

### Airborne Intelligence, Surveillance, and Reconnaissance

#### Airborne Early Warning and Control

Airborne Early Warning and Control (AEW&C) aircraft are force multipliers in that they amplify the capabilities of a national military to detect, track, and target threats. AEW&C extend the range of a country's integrated air defense system (IADS) network. In particular, these systems are better suited to detect low-altitude targets at greater standoff distances. Until recently, only the United States, Russia, and NATO were capable of effectively operating such aircraft. Since 2000, Russia, China, Israel, and Sweden have marketed new AEW&C aircraft that incorporate state-of-the-art radar technology, such as active electronically scanned arrays (AESA).



The reduced size of solid-state electronics and advances in radar technology allow smaller aircraft to be converted to AEW&C. The end result is an increase in proliferation to smaller cash-strapped nations that desire this ISR capability. Pakistan's procurement of the Saab 2000 Prieve AEW&C aircraft is an excellent example of how smaller foreign militaries are integrating AEW&C.



# Airborne Intelligence, Surveillance, and Reconnaissance

Aircraft	Producer	Operator	Radar Technology
KJ-2000 MAINRING	China	China	AESA
KJ-200 MOTH (Y9)	China	China	AESA
A-50 MAINSTAY	Russia	Russia	Mechanical Scan
KJ-500 (Y9 X1)	China	China	AESA
A-50EI MAINDOME	Russia and Israel	India	AESA
ZDK-03	China	Pakistan	Mechanical Scan
Saab 2000 Erieye/ Saab 340 Erieye	Sweden	Pakistan (possible) Thailand Malaysia (possible) UAE (possible)	AESA
Embraer-145 Erieye	Sweden	Brazil Mexico Greece	AESA
Gulfstream 550 AEW&C	Israel	Israel South Korea Singapore Malaysia (possible)	AESA
AECA Active Electronical			



### Airborne Intelligence, Surveillance, and Reconnaissance -

#### **Active Electronically Scanned Array**

Today's AEW&C aircraft are fitted with AESA radars that offer instantaneous target updates; electronic beam steering; advanced radar modes; vastly improved signal and data processing; very large search volumes; the ability to stare at a target or electronically steer the radar beam; and track thousands of targets simultaneously. These features combine to provide faster target acquisition time, more accurate target position data, and increased ability to detect low-observable targets. AESAs offer increases flexibility, allowing for specialized modes designed to find specific targets, such as cruise missiles and ground targets.

#### Simultaneous Functions of AESA Radars



# Multi-Role Fighter

Fighter aircraft designs were historically focused on aerodynamics and engines geared toward speed and maneuverability to win traditional dogfight scenarios. Today's integrated air defense and network warfate strategies demand fighter aircraft that fuse data from multiple sensors across the information spectrum to enhance pilot situational awareness; use high-bandwidth communications and data links to share data among air defense participants in near-real time; and employ sophisticated, precise, long-range weapons.





### Multi-Role Fighter

The significant technical advantage held by US fighter aircraft has subtly eroded, as the cost and complexity of weapons system development has increased. This forced the global aerospace industry to consolidate and engage in joint development programs for survival. Mergers blurred the lines between different indigenous fighter programs and the technology proliferated, creating a worldwide customer base. More importantly, avionics and weapon design specifications that were once established to ensure



Coalition partner interoperability became a global industry standard. This has yielded hybrid combat aircraft designs based on *best-in-class* equipment either purchased from foreign suppliers, or indigenously copied and produced.



The Russian Sukhoi Design Bureau Su-30MKI and Su-30MKM are excellent examples of hybrid multi-role combat aircraft that exhibit state-of-the-art technology common to newly produced and upgraded fourth-generation fighters. They include the following:

- Russian produced engines, airframe, radar, and IR targeting system
- Israeli produced electronic warfare (EW) jammers and targeting sensors
- French produced pilot displays and navigation systems
- India produced radar warning receiver (RWR) and mission computers









	$\sim$							
	US vs World – 4 <sup>th</sup> Generation Aircraft							
	J-10B	J-11B	J-16	Griper	MIG-29M	Rafale	Su-30SM	Su-35S
AESA Radar			х		$\bigvee$	х		
Infrared Search and Track System	x	x	x	×	) ×	x	x	x
Glass Cockpit	х	x	×	A XY	x	x	х	x
Helmet Mounted Sight	x	x	x	$\bigvee$	x	x	x	x
Data Link	x	x	A	×	x	x	x	x
Digital Jammer	х	х	XX	х	х	Х	x	х
Long-range Missiles	x	K	x	x	x	x	X	x
Off-Boresight Missiles	x	×	×	x	x	x	x	x
Defensive Avionics	x		x	x	x	х	x	x
Chaff/Flares	×		x	x	x	x	x	х



#### **Cockpit Design**

Most fourth-generation fighters incorporate glass cockpit technology that includes head-up displays (HUDs); head-down multi-function displays; mission computers with reprogrammable software; geospatial information system mapping products; and a digital data bus. New cockpit designs are allowing data fusion from multiple onboard and offboard sensors. Digital image and video processing displays show graphical representations of sensor threat data to provide greater situational awareness to the pilot.



#### **Radar Technology**

AESA technology similar to that being installed on aforementioned AEW&C surveillance aircraft is also being integrated into new fighters. Advanced fourthgeneration fighters have a passive electronically scanned array (PESA) radar, providing long-range radar detection and electronically scanned radar beams that enable the radar to track multiple targets. The end result is reduced pilot workload, automatic target acquisition, and highly accurate target position for air combat engagements. Air-to-ground modes with pinpoint accuracy for precision-guided weapon delivery are also available.



T-50 AESA Radai

#### **Electronic Warfare**

The most significant EW technology being used with air combat is the digital radiofrequency memory (DRFM) jammer. DRFM jammers enable instantaneous smart jamming responses by automatically selecting jamming waveforms to counter a specific threat. DRFM jammer employment substantially improves fighter aircraft survivability by disrupting or denying the opposing fighter's radar from tracking. This can drastically reduce any advantage US fighter aircraft hold with a *first look* and *first-shot* capability. Russia, China, France, and Israel lead the foreign market with DRFM jammer technology and sales.



#### Infrared Search and Track System

Most of today's modern fighters are equipped with an infrared search and track system (IRSTS) to locate, track, and engage enemy aircraft in a passive engagement. New IRSTSs are being integrated onto newly built aircraft and on older aircraft via upgrades. IRSTS technology is expanding in different wavelengths to optimize detection range and performance.

# Reduced Inventories with Upgraded Capabilities

The staggering cost of fighter procurement has forced some air forces to seek cheaper aircraft upgrade alternatives. Modifications also draw from best-in-class sensors, communications, and long-range weapons procured by the same manufacturers that are building today's frontline multi-role fighters. The end result is often a one to two generation technology leap in mission capability for aircraft that were once deemed a marginal threat.

Another effect of high fighter costs is the reduction of

aircraft inventories in foreign militaries. The sheer cost of fighter acquisition is producing almost a one-fortwo reduction in aircraft in most foreign air forces. The advent of the multi-role fighter allows the aircraft to conduct roles such as air intercept, strike interdiction, anti-ship, suppression of enemy air defenses, and LACM launches.





#### **Best in Class**

The Russian Su-35S is a 4++ generation aircraft that employs fifth-generation technologies into its design, and is the most advanced FLANKER variant to date. Technologies include advanced radar, engines, and avionics. At the center of the cockpit are two large multi-function displays to give the pilot complete situational awareness. New advanced air-to-air missiles (AAMs) and precision-guided weapons round out the progressive capabilities of the Su-35S.

The Chinese J-16 FLANKER is China's most advanced FLANKER. This multi-role strike variant is based on its



predecessor, the J-11B, with upgraded avionics, long-range radar, and weapons. Weapons for the J-16 include a full suite of indigenous Chinese air-to-air and air-to-ground grided munitions, combined with an AESA radar to engage targets at longer distances. The J-16 survivability combined with the long-range capability of FLANKER aircraft enables pilots to attack or defend against any enemy target.



# Fifth-Generation Fighter

Russia and China are developing fighters to challenge air dominance. Each system has specifically been designed to counter and compete with US F-22 and F-35 capabilities. Other countries such as India, Indonesia, Iran, Japan, South Korea, Sweden, and Turkey have also stated that they are developing fifthgeneration aircraft.

The Chinese J-20, FC-31 (unofficially known as J-31), and the Russian T-50 (PAK-FA) all have potent airto-air lethality, combined with a standoff attack capability designed to operate using network-centric warfare technology sensor-to-shooter operations.

Chinese FC-31 (J-31)



Country

Aircraft

Status

#### Sample of Worldwide Fifth-Generation Fighter Programs



# Fifth-Generation Fighter

#### **Common Technology of Fifth-Generation Fighters**



# Fifth-Generation Fighter

#### Key systems include:

- Super cruise capability, which is the ability to fly above Mach 1.0 without the use of full engine throttle
- Super maneuverability or the freedom to maneuver with very high agility during a dogfight
- Reduced aircraft radar and IR signatures
- AESA radar that extends detection and tracking ranges up to 200 NM. New systems allow air-to-air and air-to-ground targeting of multiple targets (track up to 30 targets while simultaneously engaging up to 8). Radars are being designed with electronic counter-countermeasures against jamming
- Advanced data link that fuses data from air and ground networks into a single air picture; plus share sensor data. Cockpit data includes geospatial terrain databases to enhance air to-surface targeting
- Cockpit displays that fuse radar, video, and textual data from sensors data to enhance pilot situational awareness
- Advanced multi-band EO systems, allowing for increased target detection capabilities
- Helmet-mounted sight cueing systems that allow an over-the should er look and shot capability
- Advanced DRFM jammers with more power to deny adversary radar use
- EO defensive systems that enable IR and ultraviolet missile taunch warning, plus laser warning
- Internally carried AAMs with off-boresight and long range capabilities



# Fifth-Generation Fighter .

The Chinese FC-31 (J-31) is a twin engine, mid-sized fighter aircraft manufactured by the Shenyang Aircraft Corporation. Having similar external characteristics, it is likely that the FC-31 is China's export alternative to the F-35 Joint Strike Fighter. The first flight was on 31 October 2012, and is currently in flight testing.





0

US versus Chinese/Russian Fifth-Generation Fighters						
		F-22	F-35	J-20	F 31 (I-31)	T-50
	Super Cruise	х		×		х
	Super Maneuverability	х	Ž		)	х
	Reduced Signatures	x		) ×	х	х
	AESA Radar 🏼 🎸	×		Х	Х	х
	Advanced Data Link	x	x	х	х	х
	Sensor Fusion	) <sub>x</sub>	х	х	х	
	Multi-Band Electro- Optical Systems		х	х	х	х
$\sim$	Nelmet Nounted	х	х	х	х	х
	Advanced DRFM Jammer			х	х	х
	Electro-Optical Defensive Systems	х	х	х	х	х
	Internally Carried Long-Range Missiles	х	х	х	х	х
	Internally Carried Off-Boresight Missiles	х	х	х	х	х

Chinese FC-31 (J-31) Cockpit Mock Up

# Fifth-Generation Aircraft Developments .

#### **Concept Design Drawings**

The rest of the world is also developing fifth-generation aircraft technology. India, Indonesia, Iran, Japan South Korea, Sweden, and Turkey have stated that they are all developing fifth-generation aircraft that will challenge air dominance.

Japan: Mitsubishi Advanced Technology Demonstrator-X (ATD-X) Shinshin





## Sixth-Generation Fighter.

#### What's Next?

Sixth-generation aircraft will replace fifth-generation technology and aircraft. Foreign nations have not finalized plans or requirements for a sixth-generation aircraft, though research and development (R&D) has begun.



# Air-to-Air Missiles\_

#### **Active Radar**

Today, AAMs employ active radar seekers that give fighter aircraft a launch-and-leave option. This allows the fighter to launch and move out of harm's way as the missile continues to track and destroy its target autonomously. Active-radar missiles are being designed with aerodynamics and propulsion systems that increase missile range and velocity, thus impacting first-shot ranges and first-missile impact advantages once held by the United States.



### Air-to-Air Missiles

#### **Infrared Missiles**

A first-shot advantage remains just as critical during within-visual-range or close-in air combat. Today's short-range missiles utilize imaging IR seekers and digital processing that enhance lock-on range, reduce minimum range requirements for launch, and are very effective at defeating IR countermeasures.

Short-range IR missiles are also being produced with high maneuverability, advanced flight controls, and new seeker technology to enable high off-boresight missile shots. China and Russia both produce helmetmounted sights (HMS) and helmet-mounted display system (HMDS) that allow the pilot to shoot at virtually anything they can see outside the cockpit. The pilot



can simply look in the direction of their target (which may be outside the normal operating envelope of the aircraft's other sensors) to acquire a missile lock and launch.

New IR technology is introducing staring array and imaging seekers that enhance detection and lock-on.



# Global and Regional Strike

#### Future Medium- and Long-Range Bomber Developments

New stealth bombers are being developed in both China and Russia for the main purpose of striking global and regional targets. Stealth technology continues to play a key role in the R&D of these new bombers that are expected to reach initial operating capability (IOC) in the 2025+ timeframe. New Chinese and Russian bombers will have additional capabilities with full-spectrum upgrades over the operational bomber fleets, and will employ many fifth-generation fighter technologies in their design.



# Global and Regional Strike \_

#### **Bomber Upgrades**

Russian strategic air attack capabilities are well demonstrated by bomber flights that occur along Us and Coalition borders. Russian Tu-95/BEAR and Tu-160/BLACKJACK bombers are receiving service life extensions and are being modified with new aiming and navigation systems to permit more precise targeting for conventional weapons and new cruise missiles with standoff ranges up to 2,700 NM. Tu-95/BEAR and Tu-160/BLACKJACK are currently the only adversary bombers that have a global reach. They can fly over 5,000 NM, and even farther with aerial refueling.

China realizes the benefit of power projection from long-range bombers. It is developing indigenous bombers (like the H-6) equipped with ASCMs and/or LACMs. Chinese bomber and weapons development programs are geared toward regional power projection and anti-access strategy to prevent or hamper US air and naval operations throughout East Asia and the Pacific.



### **Cruise Missiles and Hypersonic Cruise Missiles**

Cruise missiles are categorized as either ASCMs or LACMs. More than 10 foreign countries develop ASCMs and LAMs. This missile technology continues to proliferate despite international control efforts, such as the Missile Technology Control Regime (MTCR).

ASCMs are a significant concern for navies around the world. They are effective against both military and civilian ships. Aircraft that carry ASCMs can influence international shipping lanes used for world commerce and pose a direct threat to our carrier battle groups. ASCMs rely on launch aircraft or over-the-horizon sensor data for target position, but after launch, employ an onboard seeker to acquire and guide the missile to the target impact. ASCMs are becoming faster, stealthier, and more accurate than those fielded in the past. Distributed sensors and communications networks will continue to improve ASCM targeting in littoral regions throughout the world.

LACMs are pre-programmed and autonomous. They navigate to the target using an onboard inertial navigation system (INS). These systems are often paired with satellite navigation (SATNAV) like GPS and terrain contour-matching radar, or optical-scene-matching systems to improve navigational accuracy. During the terminal guidance phase, these weapons can use optical-scene-matching or radar seekers to find the intended target. Many cruise missiles fly at low levels, and some are treated with radar cross section (RCS) or counter-IR signature-reducing materials to hamper detection. New missile propulsion, navigation, and seeker technology continues to improve long-range accuracy and lethality.







#### Hypersonic Cruise Missiles,

India and Russia are currently developing a hypersonic (>Mach 5) cruise missile called the Brahmos-II. The Brahmos-II program's stated goal is to actieve a range of 165 NM and a speed of about Mach 7, which is double the speed of Brahmos-I A scramet engine will provide power during the cruise stage of flight.



# Air Combat Tactics \_

Effective employment of advanced weapon systems requires the combined effects of technology and arr combat tactics. Historically, the United States has had significant technological advantages, plus the added advantage of other countries' poor pilot training and tactics. Foreign air forces have studied US air combat tactics during recent conflicts, and are starting to incorporate more and better tactics. Almost all fourth-generation fighters are equipped with video and audio equipment to enhance post-mission training. Many nations are also acquiring lead-in fighter trainers equipped with cockpits similar to their from the fighters, and ground-based simulators to provide a well-rounded training regiment.







# Photo Credits \_\_\_\_\_





Page	Source	Page	Source	Page	Source
Cover	Top: Russian internet Bottom: Chinese Internet	12	Top Right: Russian Internet Middle Right: Russian Internet Bottom: Russian Internet	23	Top Left: Russian Internet Top Right: Russian Internet Bottom Left: Russian Internet Bottom Right: Russian Internet
2	deviantart.com	13	Bottom Center: Russian Internet	24	Middle: Chinese Internet
3	Top: airliners.net Bottom: Chinese Internet	14	Top: Russian Internet Bottom: Russian Internet	25	Top Left: Chinese Internet Top Right: Russian Internet Bottom Left :Chinese Internet Bottom Right: Russian Internet
4	Top: Chinese Internet Bottom: ausaipower.net	15	Top: Russian Internet Middle: defense-update.com Bottom: airliners.pet	26	Top Left: Chinese Internet Top Right: Russian Internet Middle Right: Russian Internet Middle Left: Chinese Internet Bottom: Chinese Internet
5	Air ISR: Chinese Internet SAM: ausairpower.net Fighter: Russian Internet Computer Exploit: US Internet Space ISR: thespacereview.com	16	Top: Russian Internet Middle: Russian Internet Bottom: Chinese Internet	27	Middle: Chinese Internet Bottom Left: Chinese Internet Bottom Right: Russian Internet
6	Y8: Chinese Internet KJ-2000: Chinese Internet Yilong UAV: Chinese Internet Data Fusion Photos: US Defense Contractor Briefings	17	Top Russian Internet Bottom: Chinese Internet	28	Top Left: Russian Internet Top Right: Russian Internet Bottom Left: Chinese Internet Bottom Right: Russian Internet
7	Top: Russian Internet Bottom: Chinese Internet	18	Top: Chinese Internet Middle: Chinese Internet Bottom: defensenet.com	29	Top Right: Russian Internet Upper Middle Right: Russian Internet Lower Middle Right: Chinese Internet Bottom: Russian Internet
8	Left: defense-update.com Right: Chinese Internet	19	Top: Chinese Internet Bottom: Chinese Internet	30	Top: Russian Internet Bottom Left: Chinese Internet Bottom Right: Russian Internet
9	US Defense Contractor Briefing	20	Left: Russian Internet Right: Chinese Internet	31	Top Left: Russian Internet Top Right: Chinese Internet
10	Top: US Defense Contractor Briefing Bottom Left: airliners net Bottom Right: Chingse Internet	21	Top Left: Chinese Internet Bottom Left: Chinese Internet Bottom Right: Chinese Internet	Back Cover	air-and-space.com
11	Top: Chinese Internet Bottom Left: Russian Internet Bottom Right: Chinese Internet	22	Top Left & Right: globalaviationreport.com Bottom Left: Indian Internet Bottom Right: Indian Internet		



This report contains material copyrighted by others No claim of copyright is made for any included works of the US government.